1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
3	MACON DIVISION
4	and the second of the second o
5	
6	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, :
U	: Case No. 02:CR-27-1
7	vs. The state of the second se
_	: August 6, 2003
8	Macon, Georgia
9	DWIGHT D. YORK, ET AL, and : 3:00 PM KATHY JOHNSON, DEFENDANTS :
9	AATRI JOHNSON, DEFENDANTS :
10	
	STATUS CONFERENCE
11	And the state of the Country of the Parket of the State o
	BEFORE THE HONORABLE C. ASHLEY ROYAL
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
1 2	APPEARANCES:
13	APPEARANCES:
14	FOR THE GOVERNMENT: MR. MAXWELL WOOD, U.S. ATTORNEY
	l
15	MR. RICHARD MOULTRIE, AUSA MS. STEPHANIE THACKER, AUSA
16	
17	FOR THE DEFENDANT YORK MR. EDWARD T.M. GARLAND, MR. FRANK A. RUBINO
17	MR. FRANK A. RUBINO
18	FOR DEFENDANT JOHNSON: MR. BRIAN STEEL
19	
20	
O 1	
21	
22	
23	SALLY L. GRAY, CCR
	U.S. OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
24	P. O. BOX 875
2 E	MACON, GA 31202-0875

Computer-Aided Transcription

## 

THE COURT: Let me start out by telling y'all that I expect you to be on time in the future. So three o'clock means three o'clock, and you're going to find that I like to be punctual and I like to move the cases along. So in the future please be on time. It's sometimes difficult to get down 75, but it's been that way for so long that you all know about that and be available.

KIRCH KOMANI COMPANI

COUNSEL: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Now, let me tell you that I understand this case has been around for, I guess, a year and three months. He was indicted probably in May of last year, and I have not been involved in this case, and quite frankly, don't know a lot of about it.

And because of the status of the case with him being off for an examination and the likelihood of anything being required of me any time soon seems not to be eminent, but I really haven't done much.

I understand that there was a plea, and the agreement was rejected by Judge Lawson. I'm not at all clear about what the plea agreement was or why he rejected it. I haven't even read the indictment. I have read the report from Dr. Satchi and consider that as the primary basis for sending him off to wherever he is.

I think he's in New York; is that correct?

الراب في المستقل المستريخ بالمستعدد في المرابع المستريخ المستريخ المستريخ المستريخ المستريخ المستريخ

MR. GARLAND: He's still in Atlanta. He's scheduled to

leave a week from today. I saw him this morning.

.25

THE COURT: I see. Well, I thought he had been moved, but apparently I was wrong about that. So I'm here for y'all to tell me whatever you want to tell me about the case and enlighten me about the case, and I understand that there is a motion to suppress. I understand that there is a 404(b) motion in the case, and I'll be glad for you to give me some of the details of that if you're interested, but it seems like to me it's probably premature. I'm not sure what we can do in the case until we find out if the man is competent.

MR. GARLAND: I think that would be consistent with where we find ourselves, with a client in the condition, assuming that is reflected in that report, we're in a very delicate position, Your Honor, about announcing a position or advising the Court that we're going to take a particular course of action because of the requirement that whatever we do that the client knowingly and intelligently be advised of it and consent to it.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. GARLAND: So we're kind of here with our hands kind of tied right now.

THE COURT: I understand.

MR. GARLAND: As to the position, the actual position the pleadings and the issues is that Mr. York has been afforded the opportunity before Judge Hugh Lawson disqualified himself to withdraw his previously tendered plea, and he has not yet

withdrawn that plea, and that process was suspended pending these psychiatric examinations. So the case was left at that posture as it related to the plea. And so the orders entered after the plea relating to forfeiture that are still in place, but if he withdraws his plea, it is anticipated those prior orders would be vacated.

But the decision does he proceed to withdraw his plea needs to be intelligently and knowingly made, and so we're in a delicate posture. Liquess that we could discuss timing of trial and things of that nature as it relates to the schedulings and all, but beyond that, I feel like our hands are pretty well tied.

THE COURT: Well, that sounds reasonable to me, and I certainly understand why you would be in that position, and I'm not sure that there's anything that I can do until we have a disposition on that issue. Is there anything that I do need to do?

MR. ROBINO: The government was kind enough, Your Honor, to furnish us today with a copy of Dr. Sache's report. Things are much clearer now that we have the report. Until now we had no idea what it contained.

THE COURT: Well, I thought you had received that.

MR. ROBINO: No, sir.

MR. GARLAND: But we had a chance to look at it. We were actually here ahead of time, about an hour, Your Honor, and would have made it across the street had we not met with a deluge in the

absence of umbrellas.

MR. ROBINO: We were meeting with the U.S. Attorney's Office for the last hour.

THE COURT: Well, both sides were late so.

MR. GARLAND: We were both stopped at the door.

THE COURT: All right, I understand. What about from the government's side?

MR. MOULTRIE: Well, Your Honor, I just wondered if we might be able to address the issue of when a potential trial date might be set, or is that premature.

THE COURT: Well, I'm going to tell you when that is, but I wanted to hear from y'all first. It sounds like the defense has told me everything they want to tell me.

a wrongful death civil case pending that a judge has been appointed to hear because it involved some matters that disqualified the judges of the state court of DeKalb County. He specially set that either October 15th or 16th. It's the death of an Emory law student who was in community service, and it's a suit going out of that negligence, he fell off of the back of a garbage truck, he was put on without training, and it's been a long haul to get the case to trial. There are three or four defendants

Judge MacBeth, superior court judge in Cobb County, has scheduled two weeks of time in the DeKalb County courthouse, and I would ask the Court not to set it at that time.

1 I, Your Honor, have a trial set for the 15th MR. ROBINO: or 18th, whatever the Monday is in September which will take 2 3 approximately two weeks. I'm then set October 20th before two separate federal judges who are going to be fighting which one 4 5 gets me first for about a six week period. We had discussed among 6 ourselves, and we would ask the Court jointly to set the trial some time in January. 7 I realize that's a way back, but when Dr. York come 8 backs which probably will be at least a month from now we will 9 10 need that adequate time to prepare if we go to trial, so that would be our request. when a 11 12 THE COURT: You say jointly. MR. ROBINO: The defense lawyers. No, I strike that. 13 mean I can speak for us, shall we say the right side of the table. 14 MR. MOULTRIE: Your Honor, we just have one conflict, Ms. 15 Thacker, fortunately, is going to be marrying in early September 16 and will be going away on her honeymoon. 17 MS. THACKER: On September 6th. 18 MR. GARLAND: That's fortunate for somebody. 19 MR. MOULTRIE: But other than that we don't have any 20 other conflicts. 21 THE COURT: Well, I had planned to try this case starting 22 the week of November 3rd, which is really the first time I can get 23

to it. What's the government position about January?

MR. WOOD: We prefer the November.

I mean -- we're

24

flexible, Judge.

MR. MOULTRIE: I think we're flexible, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So now tell me again what the conflict is with that date?

MR. ROBINO: I have two federal judges, both have me set for October 20th. I can give you the judges' names, case numbers, et cetera, and basically I'm not sure which one is going to make me go first, but they're both specially setting me, and they say, well, we'll work it out when we get there, but one of us has you.

THE COURT: Are they older cases than this one?

MR. ROBINO: Oh, much older, yes, sir. And they were set some time back, and each one -- both are -- one is a two defendant case, one is a five defendant case. So the judges worked on setting that date.

THE COURT: Okay, well -- -

MR. ROBINO: One will make me trail the other is what I tend to think is going to happen. I'll start the one on the 20th and go four to six weeks, I think the next one will pick me right up in the next docket.

THE COURT: All right, well, what I want you to do is file a motion on this.

MR. ROBINO: Of course.

THE COURT: And advise me of the case names and so forth, and give the government the opportunity to respond to that, and we'll decide what to do about it. But it won't be before November

3rd.

MR. GARLAND: I think my conflict will be over.

THE COURT: Right, that's the way it sounds. Okay.

Well, what is the status of the co-defendant?

MR. STEEL: Your Honor --

THE COURT: You don't need to stand up.

MR. STEEL: I'm just so used to --

THE COURT: We're very informal here.

MR. STEEL: I want to apologize for holding everyone up and being late. I wasn't coming from Atlanta. I was -- it's a funny story, and I'm not going to bore you with it, I was in a prison, I was locked in, and that's why I was late, but -- it's not even good being a visitor locked in.

I represent Ms. Kathy Johnson and the U.S. Attorney's Office has been nothing but honorable, and we have entered -- to a reduced charge a Rule 11(e) plea that has not been rejected by the Honorable Court.

We were stayed pursuant to the Court's order until

Mr. Garland -- until the Dr. York case was finished, is the order

I got about our plea. I never received anything from the

pre-sentence report investigator. So I believe, and I'm going on

the understanding -- Mr. Moultrie has been nothing but

professional with me our way -- unless this court finds offense to

the plea that we entered, our case is going to go away whenever

the court can meet it.

MR. MOULTRIE: Your Honor, I'm not aware that Judge
Lawson has recused himself with respect to Ms. Johnson's case.

THE COURT: Well, I think the assumption was is that when this case was transferred to me, the whole case came to me.

MR. MOULTRIE: All right.

THE COURT: What's your understanding about that?

MR. STEEL: I received an order with my client's name on it stating that all matters will be before Your Honorable Court now, so that's why we are here, I guess.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any objection to me handling it?

MR. MOULTRIE: No, not at all, Your Honor. The government's position would be, Your Honor, that nothing has changed and the manner in which the government evaluated Ms. Johnson's case that Ms. Johnson was appropriately permitted to plead guilty to the charge of misprison of a felony for not having informed the court about what she knew about Mr. York's alleged activities, and that it is appropriate to sentence her in accordance with that plea agreement. There's been no change in the evidence that exists in the case with respect to Ms. Johnson, and we think it's appropriate for her to be sentenced in accordance with that agreement.

THE COURT: All right, both sides are in agreement to leave it in its current status until the York case gets resolved?

MR. STEEL: We're at the court's pleasure. We'll enter

1 the plea this afternoon, or we'll enter it whenever the York case . 2 is resolved. المعادين وسفيه بالماليات 3 MR. MOULTRIE: Well, you mean -- she's entered her plea 4 right? You mean the sentence 5 MR. STEEL: Excuse me, the sentence, I'm sorry. 6 THE COURT: All right, well, I'll think about that and 7 just leave it as it currently stands at this point. What else do we need to talk about? 8 9 MR. GARLAND: I can't think of anything, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Tell me where he has been. For some reason I 11 thought he had been moved. Where has he been? 12 MR. ROBINO: He was taken to the Atlanta Federal Penitentiary to be transferred, we were told, to New York. 13 14 THE COURT: Right. MR. ROBINO: While in Atlanta he had a seizure and that 15 16 held up his transfer. They actually pulled him out early this 17 morning at four o'clock in the morning, as they do, to put him on 18 the plane to New York today, and he was told something was wrong with his paperwork, and he has to wait until next week. And I met 19 with him this morning, and that's the update I have. Because he 20 should have or would have been on the plane this morning, but for 21 22 some paperwork glitch. THE COURT: Where was he before he moved to Atlanta? 23 MR. ROBINO: Jones County Jail. 24 THE COURT: All right. And does anybody have a sense for 25

how long that process should take?

MR. ROBINO: No. I mean, we're not even sure why he's going to New York because the facility he is going to is basically a pre-trial holding facility. I've been there many times. It's nothing more than just a high rise jail, if you will, a federal one. So it's not like Springfield, Missouri, where it's a federal prison hospital, or even Butner, North Carolina, which are prison hospitals that have psychiatric staffs and what have you. We just have to assume -- we are -- that they're bring someone from outside to see him while he's at that location.

MR. MOULTRIE: And generally, Your Honor, the time frame they give for that process is between 30 to 90 days.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MOULTRIE: Your Honor, in addition to what we've already discussed, the only thing that the government would ask is that we be able to assess if possible Mr. Rubino's conflict as soon as possible. My concern is, the government's concern is, that we have a trial date so that we can begin to subpoena witnesses and avoid any conflicts and witnesses making other arrangements to be elsewhere during the time that we plan to try the case.

Obviously, the Court may take more time to decide which trial date will actually be set, but I just wanted to bring to the Court's attention that we'll be waiting to see if we can get it resolved for that purpose.

1 THE COURT: So you would like for me to decide as soon as 2 possible. 3 That would be our preference. MR. MOULTRIE: 4 THE COURT: Or reasonably soon, anyway, so you'll know 5 whether you got November or January. 6 MR. MOULTRIE: Yes, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: What's the chances of the cases being 8 resolved short of trial? 9 MR. RUBINO: I doubt both of them will. I don't know 10 which one, but I have to tell you honestly, one of them is going 11 to trial in my honest opinion. So probably both -- at this stage 12 both, not that I'll turn down a reasonable offer if given to me, 13 but I don't see it happening on both of the cases. 14 In fact, one of them I'm positive. There's no offer. 15 take that back. One of them is going no matter what. The other one could possibly be resolved, but, no, one is going. 16 17 just no offer on one of them, or would be acceptable. THE COURT: All right, well, submit something to me on 18 19 that. 20 MR. RUBINO: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And we'll consider it. It's going to be hard 21 22 to know when they'll complete their evaluation of him in New York, but I would think that they would have it done so that the case .23

could be reached by November. What else do we need to talk about?

24

25

Anything else?

1 MR. RUBINO: We would request of the Court that when Dr. 2 York is returned if he could be housed in Atlanta, the federal 3 facility, instead of the local county jail since Mr. Garland's 4 office is in Atlanta. I'm from Miami, but I fly into Atlanta, it 5 saves us two hour driving to interview and visit with the client 6 and would facilitate our work. 7 THE COURT: Can that be arranged? I mean, if I have the 8 power to do that, that will be fine. 9 MR. RUBINO: He originally was, and then I think he was

MR. RUBINO: The originally was, and then I think he was taken out because of the state court is why he ended up at the county jail.

MR. MOULTRIE: I would assume that because the federal case is being tried first, that's he's going to be in --

MR. RUBINO: He'll stay federally.

MR. MOULTRIE: Right.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MOULTRIE: And Mr. Robino is correct, Your Honor, the only reason why he was moved to Jones County was because of the state court proceeding.

THE COURT: Well, maybe the best thing to do since it sounds like he's likely to go back to the federal prison anyway is to see what happens and then you can call me and notify me, and I can enter an order, assuming I can do that, and we'll take care that.

MR. GARLAND: It's probably just a friendly call to the

21222324

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

U.S. Marshal. 2 THE COURT: Well, it may be, I don't know. You know, I 3 was told how powerful federal judges are, but now that I've gotten 4 here, I find out that's really not true. A lot of things I thought I could do that I can't, especially when it involves the 5 6 federal bureaucracy so. Anything else? 7 COUNSEL: No, sir. 8 THE COURT: All right, well, if you don't know of anything I need to do -- if y'all know of anything I need to do 9 right now in this case, just let us know. I don't think I need to 10 do anything, and I'm going to go back and work on my other cases. 11 12 COUNSEL: Thank you, Your Honor. (STATUS CONFERENCE CONCLUDED) 13 14 15 16 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER. 17 y al chapted its I, SALLY L. GRAY, Official Court Reporter of the United States District Court, in and for the Middle District of 18 the State of Georgia, Macon Division, do hereby CERTIFY that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings 19 in the above-entitled matter. 20 21 SALLY L. GRAY, CCR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER 22 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA 23 

24