

A PUBLIC OUT CRY FREE KATHY AND MALACHI

Employee for the **Government Recants**

In the Malachi York Case

During the trial, Habiba "Abigail" Washington, the government's key witness, testified before the court and the jury that she was offered "Favorable Concessions" in her immunity agreement for her cooperation in the conspiracy against Mr. York. "Favorable" according to the *American Heritage Dictionary*, means "advantageous, granting what has been desired or requested"; and "concessions" means, "a grant made by a government or other controlling authority in return for stipulated services or a promise that grant will be used for a specific purpose." In other words, the government employed her by paying her money to testify against Mr. York. Ms. Washington made it clear in her affidavit that she was an employee for the government before she had recanted her testimony. Meaning, she was working with the Federal and State Prosecution in the investigation and was one of the centerpieces of the conspiracy. Jacob York, who is also on government payroll to keep himself out of jail, has become a "snitch" for the government and is actively on government payroll. Federal prosecutors have so much dirt on Jake that he is forced now to be a "mole". He has most recently infiltrated Lil' Kim and her entourage, causing her to be brought up on serious government charges. Some say he may even be responsible for Christopher Wallace's aka "Biggie Smalls" untimely death. Habiba "Abigail" Washington, in her most recent affidavit, reveals her involvement in the conspiracy and gave a false testimony on the stand in an effort to continue in her previously arranged agreement. The Defense Attorney Adrian Patrick asked Ms. Washington during cross-examination about her immunity agreement and employment by the government:

Attorney: Yes. Ms. Washington have you reviewed your immunity agreement?

Washington: Umm. My immunity agreement.

Attorney: Yes, your immunity agreement for you to testify here today for the prosecution.

Washington: Yes, I have reviewed my immunity agreement.

Attorney: Have you been offered anything for your testimony?

Washington: No.

Attorney: Are you aware that on paragraph 2 line three it states that you will be given "Favorable Concessions" for your testimony here today.

Moultrie (working for United States Attorney Max Wood): Objection. Objection. Your honor her immunity agreement does not say that. That is nowhere in the agreement, and Mr. Patrick should be strongly reprimanded by this court for bringing up frivolous information in his client's defense before the jury.

Attorney: Your honor, may I approach the witness so that she may read this section of her immunity agreement to refresh her memory and the prosecution's memory.

Court: Yes. Go ahead.

Attorney: Ms. Washington would you please read paragraph 2, line three for the jury.

Washington: "You will be given favorable concessions in return for your testimony at trial and cooperation in the investigation against Malachi York."

At this point, the attorney for Mr. York held the paper up in open court before the jury and said: *"The government just said this statement was not in the agreement and it has been read in open court that the government lied, in fact Ms. Habiba Washington is on the government's payroll and has been paid to testify against Mr. York. What is the government trying to hide from you, the jury? I would like to tender this document into evidence."* "Tendered" means that he was allowed to make that document a part of the transcript of the trial, which the judge is trying to keep away from the public.

Habiba "Abigail" Washington, a former employee for the government, courageously has come forward to reveal the conspiracy against Mr. York. She has revealed the ongoing plot against Mr. York, which was conspired by the government and Jacob York. Now that Habiba "Abigail" Washington has come forward with the truth, the United States Prosecutors are trying to make the public believe she is not the foundation of their very case against Mr. York. The truth is, she knows of all the illegal actions of the Federal Agents during the investigation, how they coerced and threatened witnesses and how they put together the bogus money structuring charge to bring the case to trial. This is why they are now threatening her with prosecution for perjury and obstruction of justice. The prosecution has even gone so far as to file a motion demanding that the court fire Ms. Washington's legal counsel and for the court to appoint her a public defender. The prosecution has stated in this motion that the court should hold a hearing to see whether her own legal counsel, which was retained by her own friends and family and not anyone affiliated with Mr. York or the United Nuwaubian Nation of Moors, can represent her independently and if she feels comfortable with her current legal counsel. This is just another scare tactic by the Federal Prosecutors to first threaten Ms. Washington with charges and then to demand that the court fire her attorney and grant her a court appointed attorney that you know is controlled by them. Why has the Federal Prosecutors resorted to threats against those who are now brave enough to tell the truth? Because the conspiracy has been exposed and someone must take the heat for the illegal raid and arrest, torture of Mr. York and his wife Ms. Kathy Johnson-York, both who are still incarcerated, the illegal detention for over two years and much more.

FOR MORE INFO GO TO
WWW.UNNM.COM
A PUBLIC OUTCRY FREE KATHY AND MALACHI

Malachi Z. York Tried by the Press Not by a Jury

Back in May 2002 A.D. the media was saturated immediately with the story of "a cult leader who calls himself god who says he's from another planet being arrested and his Egyptian style compound being raided". This is how the Atlanta Journal Constitution under Bill Osinski started off the trial with his article "Leader of Sect arrested in raid" Atlanta Journal Constitution May 9, 2002 A.D. From there, the disease spread all across Georgia and half the country. The media declared this man guilty before the trial. He was denied his Presumption of Innocence, which is a right secured by the United States Constitution, which was removed. Presumption of innocence is a human right of the accused in a criminal trial and is based on the 5th 6th and 14th amendments of the United States Constitution. And this presumption of innocence was removed by Judge Claude Hicks by documents passed to him before the trial. And he is merely a Magistrate judge, not a trial judge but he assisted the press by making these statements about Mr. York in the United States District Court For the Middle District of Georgia Macon Division Arraignment and Detention Hearing May 14, 2002 A.D. During this hearing, Judge Hicks stated: *"I think to a great extent that's what's been presented to me in the courtroom today, and it's not unlike the situation which I imagined existed in courtrooms earlier in previous years with individuals like Jimmy Swaggart, Jim Jones, Jim Baker. They had quite a few loyal followers. They let their followers down"* This went immediately into the press furthering the trial through the press and not the court. Never did they discuss how the Nuwaupian organization is in fact a Masonic and Shrine lodge that had been under attack by racists from the time they came down here in 1993 A.D. They attacked their land, with false accusations and bogus permit violations all perpetrated by a group of Putnam County officials, namely Sheriff: Howard Richard Sills, Attorney Francis Nearn Ford, Attorney Dorothy Adams, Administrative Assistant Marianne Tanner, Putnam County Commissioner Steve Layson, Putnam County Clerk Sheila Layson, Former Building Inspector Jerome Dean Adams a/k/a "Dizzy", Putnam County Dodi Morgan of Putnam County Building and Zoning and others. First the media and the efforts of Howard Richard Sills to travel as far as New York and to spend money out of his own pocket were told to buy the control of the press and spread the name Malachi York and Nuwaupians across the media in a slanderous defaming manner. Sills went around calling them a cult classifying them with European American Suicide cults such as **Jim Jones** and the **People's Temple**, **David Koresh** and the **Branch Davidians** and the **Heaven's Gate** cult who are all Christians then classifying Dr. York as god himself but never producing any actual documents to prove his statements. But the press ran with it because it was exciting and good press again Mr. York was already condemned to being a blasphemer, a kook who thinks he's God from another planet but that didn't work for Sills because the country is saturated with blaspheming cultist whose leaders call themselves god that they believe is being from another planet. This includes the **United States government** itself that creates a political ladder or staircase to the top where the person has the final word on life or death thus he plays god; where they demand that you believe what they believe though it has no factual foundation thus they're a cult. We're not anti-government, we just want you to look at the facts. This same government spends billions of dollars on the SETI project and exploring other planets looking for life thus they believe in extra-terrestrials but none of this worked for Mr. Sills so he used the press and hyped them with two bogus letters that he never produced he mentioned them in the press such as in the **Eatonton Messenger** on **May 16, 2002 A.D.** which states *"We began this investigation in 1998 after receiving letters," said Sills 'not only did we receive letters, but the clerk's office got one as well as The Eatonton Messenger, alleging sexual abuse of children'"*. And when even put to question while on stand in Putnam County by Attorney Steele who was at trial with Attorney Janice Mathis at the hearing regarding the children in DCFS custody, Sills was put on the spot and stated that the letter he received was from Pauline Rogers. However, notice the contradiction or outright lie of Sheriff Sills right here when Attorney Stephen Bradley, Assistant District Attorney cross-examined Sills in the Superior Court of Putnam County on January 16, 2003 A.D.

(Bradley) *Q: Were you able to determine who wrote the letter?*

(Sills) *A: I never was able to determine who wrote that letter.*

(Bradley) *Q: Even up until today, do we know who wrote either of those anonymous letters?*

(Sills) *A: It was the same letter, but, no, sir. No sir, we don't.*

But before, when asked who is one of the people he said Pauline Rogers that sent the initial letters. But as you have seen, Pauline Rogers' son Luqman Rogers has made an affidavit that is on our website www.unnm.com under the posting "Another Eye Witness to the Conspiracy against Malachi York" where he tells you that he was there when they tried repeatedly to recruit his mother for the conspiracy against Mr. York and she refused to participate. So with this bogus letter that Sills claimed to receive in 1997 AD instead of him doing what his job requires him to do which would be to respond immediately to this he took no action. This means 1. By the fact that he said on stand that he got a letter with allegations of molestation, at the point of getting the letter he was supposed to intervene and the investigation was

supposed be initiated. A warrant should have gone out for Mr. York's arrest then, not in 2002 A.D. 5 years later. This means Sheriff Sills left all the children on that land, which was in the hundreds, and any other ones Mr. York came in contact with in danger of being molested. What he did is called gross negligence because he allowed children to stay in harm's way.

Sheriff Howard Richard Sills, Badge 117 is quoted in the article "Putnam County sheriff: York lived 'like a king'" by Cheryl Mitchell in the *Union-Recorder*, "He's a serial pedophile, based on the evidence we've gotten' the sheriff said." Then just a few lines down the article states, "The reason York wasn't arrested in 1998 when the letters were first sent was simple, said Sills. 'There wasn't enough evidence to bring a conviction' he said". Mr. Sills declared Malachi Z. York a "serial" pedophile that means like an animal who goes out stalking children to have sex with them but he did not set out to arrest him but allowed him to roam for 5 years. Stop! 1. Either Mr. Sills doesn't care nothing about children; 2. Mr. Sills does not believe that Malachi Z. York is a predator, a child molester; or 3, those who work around Mr. Sills namely, FBI Agent Joan Cronier, FBI Agent Jalaine Ward, Assistant District Attorney Dawn Baskin, District Attorney Fred Bright, Assistant District Attorney for the Ocmulgee Circuit, Stephen Bradley and Detective Tracy Bowen, were not informed by Sheriff: Howard Richard Sills; or 4. Sills was not doing his job. The answer is all of the above. Mr. Sills, with the assistance of his conspiring friends was finding Mr. York guilty before he even got into a court of law and the only way to do that in Middle District of Georgia where people are confined to a small area and not allowed to think outside of it, is to saturate and control the local press. And that he does well with his friends Rob Peecher, formerly of the Macon Telegraph now of the Lake Oconee News, Bill Osinski of the Atlanta Journal Constitution, Bill Torpy of the Atlanta Journal Constitution, Karen Sloan of the Brunswick News, Mara Shalhoup of Creative Loafing in Athens Georgia, Bob Moses of the Southern Poverty Law Center, Stephen Gurr of the Athens Banner-Herald, not to mention Channel 13 WMAZ, and Sharon Wallace of WABC Television New York. All of these people did bad articles or reports about Mr. York and the Nuwaupians before he even got to trial. During trial Sheriff: Howard Richard Sills poisoned Brunswick, GA by going down there and providing information for the article "Cult leader to Be Tried in Glynn" by Karen Sloan staff writer, Brunswick News printed on October 30, 2003 A.D. This article begins, "The leader of a religious cult who claims to be from another galaxy will face child-molesting charges in federal court in Brunswick, U.S. District Court Judge Ashley Royal ruled Wednesday." She then proceeds to state that "When it [trial] gets here, police can expect a significant number of York's followers to show up at the federal courthouse" inciting fear in the hearts of readers and the image of "York's followers" as an aggressive group of people coming to overtake their small town, feeding into the whole cult-leader image the media continues to project. Karen Sloan also states in the article, "The plea was rejected by U.S. District Court Judge Hugh Lawson, who said the sentence was not harsh enough for the crimes." How can a jury be unbiased when this type of information is in the media already containing statements as if before his trial even started Dr. York was already guilty. This article spoiled the jury pool in Brunswick, but Judge C. Ashley Royal said it was the Nuwaupians who came down and spoiled the jury pool. Royal said Nuwaupians distributed flyers to influence potential jurors but that was a media tactic to take the attention away from the real culprit, Putnam County Sheriff: Howard Richard Sills. Sources tell us that Sheriff Sills contacted the Brunswick News and supplied Karen Sloan with information for her article which was printed two days after the order issued by Judge C. Ashley Royal to change the venue from the Middle District to the Southern District of Georgia, namely his hometown of Brunswick Georgia. Before the start of the trial Middle District of Georgia was closed down as the venue (the location of the trial). Judge C. Ashley Royal then made statement that the trial could not be held anyplace else but his hometown. In his Order on Defendant's Motion to Change Venue, dated October 28, 2003 A.D. he states that after ruling out the Middle District he then considered moving the trial to Atlanta, other locations in the Northern District and then considered the Southern district. After ruling out Augusta "The Court then focused on Savannah and Brunswick and concluded that the Brunswick Division offers the best venue for the trial of this case. Most important to the Court is the fact that Brunswick is largely outside the Atlanta media market, which the court knows from the experience of having lived and practiced law in Brunswick." Mr. Malachi Z. York has not been not tried in court, he has been tried in the media before he even stepped into court.

A Public Outcry. Free Kathy and Malachi

For more information go to

www.unnm.com

A PUBLIC OUT CRY FREE KATHY AND MALACHI

JUDGE C. ASHLEY ROYAL

PICKS THE WHOLE JURY HIMSELF!!!

What is picking a jury for a trial and what are the legal procedures? First of all, everyone there that is chosen as a juror is not supposed to know anything about the case, or anything about the person who is on trial and definitely nothing about an organization if he or she belongs to one. Or their religious beliefs; you never hear on TV Martha Stewart and her religion. They didn't say Kobe Bryant and his religion. They didn't say Michael Jackson and his religion. They didn't say R. Kelly and his religion, or Robert Blake and his religion, or the religion of the U.S. Soldiers who are responsible for the Torture in Iraq. So why when it comes to this man, Malachi York, Nuwapian is being used. This allows the public to prejudge you based on your religion before they even hear about the case. So now you have 150 potential jurors selected from voting rolls or driver's license information or other similar government list and from this list a jury supposed to be chosen. But definitely not by the judge himself as it happened in the Malachi Z. York case. Again, what is legally picking a jury according to the law? There are 3 stages: **1. Voir Dire: A part of the jury selection where the judge and the lawyers question the jury panel individually to determine if anyone has knowledge of the case, a personal interest in it, or any feelings that might make it hard to be impartial. This process is called Voir Dire a French phrase meaning "to speak the truth".** **2. A Challenge for cause: Where the lawyer has a specific reason for thinking that a juror would not be able to be impartial.** **3. Peremptory Challenge: A challenge that does not require a lawyer to state any reason for excusing a Juror.**

Now what is actually picking a jury legally? The lawyers and the prosecution are supposed to share in picking a jury, not the judge. And when the Jurors were asked who heard about the Malachi Z. York Case, Every signal one of them responded that they had heard of the case, saw on the case on television, read about the case in the Brunswick Newspaper, or while driving on the way to court the morning of the trial, heard it on Brunswick or Savannah radio stations. And even while sitting inside of the court room while waiting for jury selection that very same morning discussing the case of Malachi York. What do I mean by discussing the case of Malachi York before the jury selection? Way over 150 potential jurors were gathered in the courtroom. Judge C. Ashley Royal then asked the potential jurors who heard about this case of Malachi York, raise your hand. The people who raised their hand was dismissed and about 50 people remained and was selected and sent to an adjacent room to the courtroom. Judge C. Ashley Royal had a table set up for Prosecution and a table set up for the Defense lawyers. And Judge C. Ashley Royal calls the potential jurors in one by one and questions every person who came in who had not raised their hand originally and questions each person under oath again had they heard about the Malachi York case and each person said yes. And the Judge did not stop there. He went on and what came out was discussions in the courtroom while waiting for jury selection was going and people admitted that several men and women were trying to influence their thoughts by discussing the so-called guilty plea of Mr. York. This was brought to the attention of Judge C. Ashley that these people were actually in the next room discussing Mr. York being guilty before the trial began. Again, Judge C. Ashley Royal, responded in his normal disrespectful manor and condescending attitude, "what do you want me to do, dismiss the whole jury and start again?" And Attorney Adrian Patrick's naturally responded, "that is the legal and proper thing to do." And the Judge C. Ashley Royal responded, "I am not because I have a deadline." And that was that. Mr. York's attorney had nothing whatsoever to do with the jury selection, and the Prosecution made no comments whatsoever because obviously they were in on it. The judge already knew who was going to be selected even after Mr. York had fired the Garland, Samuel and Loeb firm under which Attorney Manny Arora worked, and Mr. York, made it clear to the judge on **December 30, 2003 A.D.** in a meeting in the courthouse room downstairs that he does not trust the Edward Garland Firm, and does not want them representing him. He knew that this firm was a part of the plot and he was forced to fire them before trial, although Malachi York made it clear that he did not hire the Garland Firm. Judge C. Ashley Royal forced Mr. York to fire people he never hired. And the Judge told Mr. York, "I knew that you would pull a stunt like this!" And used this as an excuse not to give Attorney Adrian

6

Patrick a Continuance. This gave Mr. York's new attorney Adrian Patrick only 6 Days to prepare for Trial while the Prosecution had 2 Years to prepare. Yet, Judge C. Ashley Royal still allowed Attorney Manny Arora of the Garland, Samuel and Loeb Firm to be present during jury selection and even to participate in the trial after the Minutes in the Pretrial Hearing reveal from **June 30, 2003 A.D. to December 30, 2003 A.D.**, where a hearing took place and it was put on record that Attorney Edward Garland and Manny Arora were no longer Mr. York's attorneys that's 7 Months Difference. He made it clear in open court pointing at Attorney Edward Garland and stated, "You are not my attorney. I did not hire you on contract or verbal commitment. If I have, then present to the court a contract in which Mr. Garland admitted he could not do after taking 1.5 million dollars from Mr. York's family according to some family members of Mr. York. Mr. York clearly stated, "Ed Garland does not represent me. I do not trust the man. He is helping the Prosecution to hide the facts of this case." Yet, still Manny Arora was at the jury selection by the Judge C. Ashley Royal's choice to make it look legal. But, he was not supposed to be there. Nor cross-examine anyone during trial after being informed that he was not Mr. York's Attorney. But he did. So the judge proceeded on pretending that this was a proper jury selection. But we clearly saw that Judge C. Ashley Royal planed and plotted this jury. He refused to abide by the law, *"to make sure that all of the potential jurors meet the minimum qualifications to be a juror, or if anyone has knowledge of the case, a personal interest in it, or any feelings that might make it hard to be impartial. The judge may also ask if anyone knows the attorneys, parties or potential witnesses who will be involved in the trial."* And when Mr. York's attorney, Adrian Patrick objected to and attempted to explain to the Judge that a new jury selection should be done due to the fact that all of the potential jurors heard about or knew of Mr. York; Judge C. Ashley Royal said out of his own mouth, *"I think that I can pick an impartial jury out of this group of people."* The word we want you to concentrate on is the word "I", which is the First Person Singular and speaks about an Individual and that Individual that picked the jury is none other than **Judge C. Ashley Royal**. So Judge C. Ashley Royal picked the whole jury himself. Or rather handpicked because, keep in mind that Judge C. Ashley Royal's hometown is Brunswick and he made the decision in the change of venue order to move the trial to Brunswick. So Judge C. Ashley Royal knew ahead of time what kind of people where there and the mentality of the people because he was one of them. And he appeared in the Brunswick Newspaper as an Attorney and an Influential person and that the people in Brunswick would recognize him immediately after 20 years of living there. So Judge Royal knew exactly who he wanted on "His" jury. This is made evident in the transcripts and the minutes of the jury selection and that's why they are sealed from the public and the attorneys because, they knew if Malachi's family ever got their hands on the transcripts, they would have put them up on the Internet. And to further block this information from the public, when our lawyers attempted to get the transcripts, can you believe that after almost 3 in half months after trial and being paid over 13,000 dollars, that Mr. Craig DeLoach the Court Reporter, claimed that he had not started transcripts. Yet, he had given a few pages to some family members. And he stopped after someone called him and threatened him from the Judge's Chambers and everything took a turn from this date and still to date there are no Transcripts! Yet, they had a Sentencing Hearing and a Restitution Hearing with no Transcripts to even verify or check contradictions, perjury, mistake or lies. Mr. Craig DeLoach didn't even have the Jury Selection done. He started transcribing the middle of the Trial first, Volume 8. Keep in mind that the jury selection is the very first part of the Trial and therefore, should be the first part to be transcribed. So, I ask what is the Judge trying to cover up in the Jury Selection? Exactly, **Judge C. Ashley Royal** picked the Jury Himself! And it's obvious that Mr. Craig DeLoach is working personally for Judge C. Ashley Royal, after he was threatened, (*Refer to the flyer titled TAMPERED*), which is a violation of his oath. And further evidence that Mr. DeLoach is working for the judge is when different people tried to purchase the transcripts, prior to the transcripts being sealed he said, "I don't have the transcripts, they are in the judge's chambers." And then the judge made a statement when questioned at the Restitution Hearing, "I have the transcripts, I can go back and read what it says. I don't need to re-hear the witnesses statements." So are these transcripts sitting in the Judge's chambers or is Mr. DeLoach lying for the Judge. In this case it is clear that this jury was picked solely and completely by the judge not can you believe that these type of injustices, and profiling are still going on in American in 2004! This very thing could happen to you so we need to do something about it.

A PUBLIC OUTCRY TO FREE KATHY AND MALACHI

For more information log onto

WWW.UNNM.COM